ORIG

000036153

	Arizona Corporation	on Commission
2	WILLIAM A. MUNDELL DOCKI	
3	CHAIRMAN JIM IRVIN JUL 1	0 2002
4	COMMISSIONER	
5	MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER	CAR
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC) DOCKET NO. E-00000A-02-0051
7	PROCEEDING CONCERNING ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING ISSUES)
8		
9	IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA PUBLIC) DOCKET NO. E-01345A-01-0822
10	SERVICE COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR VARIANCE OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS))
11	OF A.A.C. R14-2-1606	
12		<i>)</i>
13	IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC PROCEEDING CONCERNING THE ARIZONA) DOCKET NO. E-00000A-01-0630
14	INDEPENDENT SCHEDULING ADMINISTRATOR	
15	ADMINISTRATOR	
16	IN THE MATTER OF TUCSON ELECTRIC) DOCKET NO. E-01933A-02-0069
17	POWER COMPANY'S APPLICATION FOR A)
18	VARIANCE OF CERTAIN ELECTRIC COMPETITION RULES COMPLIANCE	
_	DATES	
19		
20	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR) DOCKET NO. E-01933A-98-0471
21	APPROVAL OF ITS STRANDED COST	
22	RECOVERY	
22		

RELIANT RESOURCES, INC.'S POST HEARING BRIEF

In compliance with the instructions of Administrative Law Judge Lynn Farmer ("ALJ Farmer" or the "ALJ"), Reliant Resources, Inc. ("Reliant") files its post

LAW OFFICES
MARTINEZ&CURTIS.P.C.
2712 NORTH 7TH STREET
PHOENIX. AZ 85006-1090
(602) 248-0372

24

25

hearing brief setting forth Reliant's position on the four issues addressed in this proceeding: Transfer of Assets; Market Power; Codes of Conduct and Jurisdiction.

I. BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Arizona Corporation Commission (the "Commission") adopted Retail Competition Rules effective on or about December 26, 1996. The Rules provided the framework for Arizona to transition from traditional regulated monopoly to a competitive electric industry. Subsequently, the Rules were amended and re-adopted in whole or in part and the implementation of many of the provisions of the Rules have been delayed, by amendment, settlement or variance. Under the Rules, as amended by individual settlements, both Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") and Arizona Public Service Corporation ("APS") are required to divest their competitive generation assets no later than January 1, 2003. See, A.A.C. R14-2-1615.A. APS and TEP had the option of divesting to an affiliated entity or to third parties. Both APS and TEP have elected to divest to an affiliated entity. Additionally, A.A.C. R14-2-1606(B) and the Settlements require all power purchased after January 1, 2003 by APS and TEP for Standard Offer Service must be acquired from the competitive market through prudent, arm's length transactions, with at least 50% acquired through a competitive bid process.

On October 18, 2001, APS filed a Request for a Partial Variance to A.A.C. R14-2-1606(B) and for Approval of a Purchase Power Agreement. This Request sought Commission authorization for APS to enter into a long-term (13 years), with

25

three five year options) purchase power agreement with its affiliate, Pinnacle West Energy Corporation ("PinWest"). TEP also filed a request for a variance from a portion of the Competition Rules. The Commission held a Special Open Meeting on April 25, 2002, to consider an Order to Show Cause filed by Panda Gila River, LP requesting the Commission order APS to proceed with implementing the requirements of Rule 1606(B), as well as to consider other issues raised by the Commissioners and Commission Staff regarding the propriety of staying APS' Variance Request until certain other threshold issues were decided. During the Commission's Special Open Meeting, the Commissioners stayed APS' variance hearing, which was scheduled to begin on April 29, 2002, and ordered the Hearing Division to issue a Procedural Order to address certain threshold issues on an expedited basis. Proceedings on TEP's variance request were also stayed by a May 2, 2002 Procedural Order.

On April 29, 2002, a procedural conference was held in this generic docket to discuss how to proceed with the issues identified by the Commissioners at the Special Open Meeting. A Procedural Order was issued May 2, 2002 dividing the proceeding into Track A to consider the issues related to the transfer of assets and associated market power issues, issues regarding the Code of Conduct, the Affiliated Interest Rules, and the jurisdictional issues and Track B to consider issues associated with the competitive solicitation process needed to implement Rule 1606(B). A procedural schedule was developed to address the Track A issues that include the filing of direct testimony on May 29, 2002, the filing of rebuttal testimony on June 11, 2002 and a

public hearing from June 17-21, 2002, and June 27-28, 2002. A Recommended Order is expected on Track A issues on July 22, 2002, with exceptions thereto due July 31, 2002. Reliant is a party to these proceedings and filed the direct testimony of Curtis Kebler on May 29, 2002 (Reliant-1).

II. MARKET POWER AND RELIANT'S MARKET-BASED SOLUTION

All parties, with the exception of APS and possibly the Arizona Utility Investors Association, recognize that the transfer of all UDC generation assets to an affiliate will result in a concentration of market resources that provide the opportunity for the affiliate to exert market power on the wholesale generation market. *See*, *e.g.*, Neil Talbot, Direct Testimony (S-6) at 14:12-13; David Schlissel, Direct Testimony (S-8) at 2:15-17; Dr. Richard Rose, Rebuttal Testimony (RUCO-2) at 2: 8-13; Curtis Kebler, Direct Testimony (Reliant-1) at 2:14-15; Dr. Craig Roach, Direct Testimony (Panda-1) at 3:21-23; Thomas Broderick, Rebuttal Testimony (HGC-1) at 7: 4-7; and Kevin Higgins, Direct Testimony (AECC-2) at 14:3-5.

As recognized by Staff witness, Neil H. Talbot:

[T]he Arizona market is significantly less competitive than the regional market. Firstly, it is vulnerable to recurrences of regional problems that could result in regional shortages or price spikes. More importantly, however, the Arizona market is limited by transmission constraints that protect local generators against outside competitors. It is therefore less competitive, at least during some seasons and times of day."

There are two sets of local issues that are critical in restructuring. One is the adequacy or inadequacy of local transmission and generation capacity to diminish *horizontal* market power in the Arizona market. The other is the problem of *vertical* market power resulting from the ownership of transmission and generation facilities by affiliates of the Utility Distribution Companies (UDCs). The Commission has considerable authority over these two sets of issues.

Direct Testimony of N. Talbot (S-6) at 12:9-21.

While the parties come to different conclusions on the character and existence of market power, there is little doubt that the transfer of assets results in a concentration of generation within a single competitive entity in Arizona. This is particularly true in the State's load pockets where a large portion of load is served (Tr. (Vol. I) at 76-77:19-25,1; Tr. (Vol. I) at 77:14-17). Whether or not the Commission determines this is "market power" in a legal sense, it is obvious that without some form of mitigation or boundary in the short-term, the incumbent utilities will be able to significantly influence the price of electricity in any competitive procurement (Tr. (Vol. III) at 723:12-22).

In order to address concerns regarding the transfer of utility assets to an affiliate generating company and the associated market power issues, Reliant witness Curtis Kebler offered a two-pronged market-based proposal. Specifically, the proposal alleviates short-term concerns regarding a utility affiliate holding a highly concentrated amount of generation assets. Reliant's approach also addresses concerns

over existing transmission constraints that limit the amount of external generation that can be imported into certain Arizona load pockets.

The first step involves a capacity auction in which wholesale market participants are able to acquire a specified portion of the output of the capacity transferred by the UDC to an affiliate. The asset itself is not sold in this type of auction, only an entitlement to a portion of the output for a period of time. Once the entitlement to a portion of the existing generation capacity has been diversified among multiple market participants, these participants can then compete in the process envisioned under Rule 1606(B) to provide generation services to APS and its Standard Offer customers.

Rule 1606(B) requires the utilities acquire at least 50% of its Standard Offer power supplies through a competitive bid process. The second step in Reliant's proposal recommends the competitive solicitation process be structured as "slice of system" auctions. Bidders would be competing on the basis of price to provide a specific percentage of APS' daily load requirement. Under this auction procedure, APS would be purchasing a fixed priced product. The contract lengths would vary under this proposal. In addition, Reliant recommends that the power contracts acquired under the competitive solicitation process and arm's length bilateral transactions have staggered delivery dates and varying contract lengths be staggered to increase competition and encourage participation by new or expanding suppliers.

As described by Reliant witness Kebler, this proposal is significant because it demonstrates a potential market-based solution to the concerns raised in Track A (Tr. (Vol. III) at 846:13-22). While details of the Reliant proposal are appropriate to discuss in "Track B" of this proceeding, the proposal is also relevant to Track A. The Commission must recognize that on the one hand decisions made in Track A will directly influence the direction of Track B and, on the other hand, the competitive procurement process(es) established in Track B can, and should be used to address and alleviate concerns raised in Track A. In fact, Reliant's proposal affords the Commission an alternative that addresses the issues arising from the concentration of generation in one or more UDC affiliates, yet avoids unnecessary delay in the implementation of competition for Arizona's Standard Offer load. As a result, consumers will receive the benefits of competition in a timely manner. The capacity auction allows a greater diversity of suppliers to participate in the market for Standard Offer load. As new generation is built and new transmission relieves delivery constraints, the need for a capacity auction will diminish.

In contrast, permitting the incumbent utilities to divest their generation assets to affiliates without the appropriate competitive solicitation procedures in place and underway, as required by Rule 1606(B), will severely jeopardize the long-term viability of competition among wholesale suppliers in Arizona. Such a decision would effectively deny or unreasonably delay Arizona's consumers the benefits provided by vigorous competition.

III. RELIANT RESOURCES, INC.'S POSITION ON THE OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES IN TRACK A

A. Reliant Supports Proceeding With Wholesale Competition Sooner Rather Than Later.

Like nearly all of the parties in this proceeding, Reliant supports a robust and competitive wholesale market for electricity in Arizona. Like the Commission, Reliant also supports getting competition right and creating a success story. Reliant believes the current competition Rules provide the appropriate framework for a successful transition to competition. Reliant has provided the Commission with a market-based solution to many of the concerns brought forth by the Commission, Staff, and several intervenors that can be implemented without amending any of the Rules¹. This constructive framework should be used as the basis for resolution to the utilities' desire to divest their generating assets, the Commission's desire to provide stable and efficient electricity prices, and the wholesale electricity suppliers' desire for the opportunity to provide Standard Offer load service to the State's UDCs at competitive prices.

B. Reliant Supports The Transfer Of Assets, Provided A Transparent Competitive Solicitation Process Is In Place And Underway.

Throughout this proceeding Reliant has supported the transfer of incumbent utility generation assets to its affiliate. Reliant believes this is the appropriate

(602) 248-0372

Reliant believes extensions of compliance deadlines, if any are required, and placement of conditions on the transfer of assets (e.g., requiring a capacity auction) can be accomplished through Orders involving specific utilities, after notice and opportunity to be heard.

approach to facilitate a vibrant competitive wholesale market in Arizona. However, it is of the utmost importance that the Commission permit divestiture to proceed only in conjunction with competitive procurement for the Standard Offer load as required in 1606(B).

The majority of the parties to this proceeding also agree that divestiture is appropriate, and further believe the competitive procurement required by Rule 1606(B) is a necessary and fundamental condition precedent to any divestiture. Any approach that permits divestiture without implementing competitive procurement at the wholesale level, on a fair and transparent basis as envisioned by Rule 1606(B), places at risk the long-term viability of the existing and new generation projects constructed to serve the region's electrical demand. Without these projects, the State's retail consumers cannot be offered the significant benefits associated with a healthy competitive wholesale market.

APS contends divestiture must proceed because divestiture is part of a settlement agreement approved by the Commission (APS-1 at 5:11-23). Yet, through its proposed PPA, APS seeks to alter the fundamental conditions on which the transfer was based—competition under Rule 1606(B). In fact, APS seeks to extend the protection of regulation to assets build by its affiliates (e.g., Redhawk and

///

West Phoenix), while maintaining the option of using the same assets to compete on the market. This must not be allowed.²

By requesting a variance to this rule, APS effectively stagnates the wholesale market in Arizona. This is in stark contrast to their claim that the divestiture and long-term PPA will enhance competition within Arizona (APS-4 at 18-19:19-23,1-4). In fact, APS/PinWest witness Davis readily admits that the PPA results in an additional 13 years of cost-of-service rates without any competitive market test (Tr. (Vol. I) at 95:17-23). Considering the contract renewals of the PPA, the actual length of continued cost-of-service may be closer to 28 years. Staff witness Schlissel rightfully points out that the PPA is a "cost-plus proposal" (Tr. (Vol. VI) at 1400:10-11). This realization further displays that the benefits of competition will not be available to Arizona's ratepayers as the APS Variance is currently proposed.

Panda witness Roach explained that a continuation of cost-of-service rates presents measurable risks to the ratepayers that are reduced with the introduction of competition for Standard Offer load (Panda-3 at 8:11-12; Tr. (Vol. III) at 753:21-25). Reliant wholeheartedly agrees with Dr. Roach's assertion. Furthermore, approving such a variance would be inappropriate for the Arizona ratepayer at this time

Neither may Pinnacle West Energy be allowed to transfer these unregulated assets to APS, if divestiture does not take place. These assets were build as competitive assets. Any non-competitive transfer to APS will effectively eliminate the possibility of creating a robust competitive wholesale market, and the benefits to retail customers associated therewith, for the foreseeable future.

considering the number of parties in this proceeding that have expressed a desire to serve Standard Offer load.

In light of the concern regarding the transfer of assets, Reliant has presented an approach to competitive solicitation that encourages a vibrant market with many active participants. Furthermore, our proposal provides for an efficient and transparent market price to serve Arizona ratepayers. Varying contract lengths and staggered delivery dates, as proposed by Reliant and others, significantly enhanced the opportunity for vigorous competition to serve Standard Offer customers. The Commission must recognize that these attributes preserve the spirit of 1606(B) and provide stable electricity prices at competitive prices for ratepayers. Notably, the Reliant proposal also allows APS to transfer its generating assets to Pinnacle West.

No party in this proceeding argued that Reliant's plan for a capacity auction and load auction is undesirable. Only Harquahala (HGC-1 at 8:1-6) and RUCO (RUCO-2 at 8:17-22) made any mention that they believe the current market protocols do not support these market-based solutions. However, both parties agreed that the proposals have merit and provided support for their use in the future (HGC-1 at 7:10-25; RUCO-2 at 8:17-22). Reliant agrees that protocols will need to be established to fully implement our plan. However, Reliant strongly believes that protocols can be established in short order through stakeholder workshops and still allow Arizona's utilities to meet the requirements of 1606(B), sooner rather than later.

Expedition of this process is possible. For example, APS' witness Davis discussed that the company already has the ability to receive or deliver power with third parties (Tr. (Vol. I) at 145:2-11). The protocols currently used for these deliveries can be utilized as a basis for protocols in stakeholder workshops to be held upon the completion of this docket. While this will take intense work on the part of all involved, it is a process that can produce positive results for Arizona's Standard Offer customers.

The inclusion of competitive procurement with any approval of the transfer of assets is paramount to the success of Arizona's competitive wholesale electricity market. As several parties pointed out, the transfer-and-PPA proposal of APS only leads to non-competitive prices for up to 28 years for a large number of Arizona ratepayers. This should not be an acceptable outcome for the Commission when superior market-based proposals are available.

Several parties presented the Commission with different plans for an appropriate method of the transfer of assets from the incumbent utility to its affiliate. Staff witness Schlissel (S-8 at 2:24-26) and RUCO witness Rosen (RUCO-1 at 47:13-22) both support additional market power analyses prior to divestiture. The record already has at least two market power studies plus additional insightful information from numerous witnesses to these proceedings. Further, market power studies are therefore unnecessary. Indeed, Reliant has proposed a market-based solution that abrogates the need for additional market power studies.

The Track A hearings produced support for a significant portion of Standard Offer load to be competitively procured today (Tr. (Vol. IV) at 978-979:25,1-3). Additionally, Reliant has proposed a balanced market-based alternative to address market power concerns. Yet, Reliant realizes that current time constraints may lead the Commission to conclude that an altered schedule or arrangement is appropriate to achieve the requirements of Rule 1606(B). This might include the staggered transfer of assets from the utility to a generation company affiliate. In turn, the amount of Standard Offer load available for competitive bid could be phased-in incrementally. In the event an additional phase-in period is ordered, the Commission must also provide a clear and firm framework to ensure Arizona continues to move toward competition expeditiously. Otherwise, the Commission risks foreclosing meaningful wholesale competition and the benefits to retail customers that flow therefrom for the foreseeable future. The failure to continue toward wholesale competition will also unduly penalize the merchant generators who have responded to the Commission's invitation to compete in Arizona. These adverse consequences arise because the incumbent utilities have not adequately prepared to implement Rule 1606(B).

C. Affiliate Transactions And The Code Of Conduct Must Be Strengthened To Ensure A Level Playing Field.

Staff proposes a process to establish new codes of conducts for transactions between a utility and its energy-services related businesses (Staff-11 at 7:11-26). Staff witness Keene believes that the proposed Code of Conduct fills a void in the

rules and codes currently in place between a utility and its affiliates (Tr. (Vol. VI) at 1445:19-24). Reliant concurs that further consideration of Arizona's Code of Conduct is appropriate to ensure ratepayers do not subsidize any non-regulated competitive operations. The Code of Conduct is an important element for the development of a level-playing field for wholesale competitors in the State.

While Reliant agrees that several different sets of affiliate transaction rules and codes of conducts from different regulatory bodies are currently in place and apply to Arizona's utilities, Staff's proposal appears to address concerns outside of the current applicable rules. With the alterations in language as found in Staff-12, Reliant believes there is an appropriate starting point for a new Code of Conduct.

Reliant also believes that the corrections provided in Staff-12 concerning the pricing of transfers or sales between the utility and affiliate brings closure to many of the arguments against an updated Code of Conduct. For example, APS witness Cicchetti had argued against the pricing recommendation that was subsequently removed from the Staff's corrected comments (APS-3 at 26-27:22-24,1-2). With this matter resolved, or at the very least reserved for a more appropriate forum, there is little incentive to argue against Staff's recommendation.

The development of the new Code of Conduct must not be allowed to cause a material delay in the competitive procurement of Standard Offer load. Such a delay will only cause uncertainty for the market and stall the benefits of competition that would otherwise be available to Arizona's Standard Offer customers.

D. Jurisdictional Issues Should Not Halt Implementation Of Competition

The Commission, Staff, and other parties have shown significant concern over the placement of jurisdiction over generation assets in Arizona that are divested from the incumbent utility to an affiliate. In particular, concerns have been raised regarding the status of WestConnect (Tr. (Vol. I) at 237:19-20). The record adequately covers the status of WestConnect and the market monitoring functions of Regional Transmission Organizations (RTO). Furthermore, APS' witness Hieronymus (Tr. (Vol. IV) at 993:6-14), recognizes the likelihood of WestConnect being approved as a for-profit RTO is highly unlikely given FERC's recent decision regarding the Alliance in the Midwest³.

The Commission and Staff's greater concern appears to be the transfer of jurisdiction over the generation assets from the Commission to FERC when divestiture occurs and the ability of FERC to create and monitor appropriate safeguards to minimize risks to retail customers. The testimony of Staff witness, Paul Peterson demonstrates FERC is proactively implementing appropriate safeguards to protect electric consumers at the wholesale level. The Commission should not stifle competition just because the record establishes that the former APS power plants have gained Exempt Wholesale Generator status from FERC (Tr. (Vol. I) at 231:16-22) and the proposed PPA would be a FERC-approved tariff (Tr. (Vol. I) at 232:7-11).

⁹⁷ FERC para 61,327 (2001).

Reliant agrees with the statement of Panda witness Roach regarding the ability of the Commission to take proactive steps to control the mechanisms and inputs of competitive solicitation so that these concerns may be allayed (Tr. (Vol. III) at 726:1-8). In making a decision regarding these issues, the Commission must consider a long-term vision for competition in Arizona. As many of the competitive suppliers in this proceeding attested to, there is great interest in serving Arizona's Standard Offer load. This is a positive signal that competition implemented under the existing rules will produce an efficient and transparent outcome.

IV. CONCLUSION

No testimony was presented in this proceeding questioning either the wisdom of moving toward competition or the benefits provided by competition. The Commission must not reverse course now. It is imperative for the long-term success of the wholesale market in Arizona that any transfer of assets from the utility to an affiliate is done in conjunction with a plan for competitive solicitation as required in Rule 1606(B). Reliant Resources has provided a market-based solution to these matters that has been proven to be successful in other jurisdictions. Reliant's proposal for capacity auctions and load auctions adheres to the requirements of 1606(B), allows Arizona's utilities to transfer their generation assets to an affiliate, and addresses the most important concerns explored in this proceeding. Furthermore, Reliant's proposal addresses the parties' very real concern of market power in Arizona with a solution that utilizes the market to mitigate the concentration of assets.

Importantly, the Reliant proposal envisions a transparent and efficient market that will bring the benefits of competition to Arizona's consumers. To ensure that these consumers have access to these benefits, it is important that the Commission take the steps to facilitate this access as envisioned in the Electric Competition Rules.

RESPECTFULLY submitted this 10th day of July, 2002.

MARTINEZ & CURTIS, P.C.

By

Michael A. Curtis

William P. Sullivan Paul R. Michaud

2712 North Seventh Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85006-1090

Attorneys for Reliant Resources, Inc.

1	Original and eighteen (18) copies of the foregoing document filed with service list this 10th day of July, 2002 with:		
2			
3	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission		
4	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
5			
6	Copies of the foregoing hand-delivered with this 10th day of July, 2002 to:	thout a copy of the service list	
7	William A. Mundell, Chairman	Ernest Johnson, Director	
8	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street	
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
10	Jim Irvin, Commissioner	Lyn Farmer	
	Arizona Corporation Commission	Chief Administrative Law Judge	
11	1200 West Washington Street	Arizona Corporation Commission	
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	1200 West Washington Street	
		Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
13	Marc Spitzer, Commissioner		
14	Arizona Corporation Commission	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel	
*	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission	
15		1200 West Washington Street	
16	Hercules Dellas	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
	Aide to Chairman Mundell	T C '41	
17	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street	Jerry Smith	
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street	
	Thooma, Anzona 63007	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
19	Kevin Barley	Thousand Thillond 65 657	
20	Aide to Commissioner Irvin	Brian O'Neil, Executive Secretary	
20	Arizona Corporation Commission	Arizona Corporation Commission	
21	1200 West Washington Street	1200 West Washington Street	
22	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
	Paul Walker	Steve Olea, Asst. Director	
23	Aide to Commissioner Spitzer	Arizona Corporation Commission	
24	Arizona Corporation Commission	1200 West Washington Street	
	1200 West Washington Street	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85007		

	II .			
1	Copies of the foregoing mailed without copy of service list this 10th day of July, 2002 to:			
2				
3	JANA VAN NESS AZ PUBLIC SERVICE CO MAIL STATION 9905	LINDY FUNKHOUSER SCOTT S WAKEFIELD RUCO	VICKI G SANDLER C/O LINDA SPELL	
4	P O BOX 53999 PHOENIX AZ 85072-3999	2828 N CENTRAL AVE SUITE 1200	APS ENERGY SERVICES P O BOX 53901 MAIL STATION 8103 PHOENIX AZ 85072-3901	
5	Jana.vanness:@aps.com	PHOENIX AZ 85004	Linda spell@apses.com	
6	TOM WRAN	WALTER W MEEK PRESIDENT AZ UTIL INVESTORS ASSOC	RICK GILLIAM ERIC C GUIDRY	
7	SOUTHWESTERN POWER GROUP II Twray@southwesternpower.com	2100 N CENTRAL SUITE 210 PHOENIX AZ 85004	LAND & WATER FUND OF THE ROCKIES 2260 BASELINE RD SUITE 200 BOULDER CO 80302	
8			300LDER 00 00302	
9	TERRY FROTHUN ARIZONA STATE AFL-CIO	NORMAN J FURUTA DEPT OF THE NAVY	BARBARA S BUSH COALITION FOR RESPONSIBLE ENERGY	
10	5818 N 7TH ST SUITE 200 PHOENIX AZ 85014-5811	900 COMMODORE DR BLDG 107 SAN BRUNO CA 94066-5006	EDUCATION 315 W RIVIERA DRIVE TEMPE ARIZONA 85252	
11				
12	COLUMBUS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE INC	RICK LAVIS AZ COTN GRWRS ASSOC	STEVE BRITTLE DONT WASTE ARIZONA INC	
13	P O BOX 631 DEMING NM 88031	4139 E BROADWAY ROAD PHOENIX AZ 85040	6205 S 12TH STREET PHOENIX AZ 85040	
14				
15	GARKANE POWER ASSOC INC P O BOX 790	CONTINENTAL DIVIDE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE	DIXIE ESCALANTE RURAL ELEC ASSOC	
		P O BOX 1087	CR BOX 95	
16	RICHFIELD UTAH 84701	GRANTS NM 87020	BERYL UTAH 84714	
16 17	RICHFIELD UTAH 84701		BERYL UTAH 84714	
	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO LEGAL DEPT – DB203		AZ COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOC	
17	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO	GRANTS NM 87020 AZ DEPT OF COMMERCE		
17 18	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO LEGAL DEPT – DB203 220 W 6TH STREET P O BOX 711	GRANTS NM 87020 AZ DEPT OF COMMERCE ENERGY OFFICE 3800 N CENTRAL 12TH FL	AZ COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOC 2627 N 3RD ST SUITE 2	
17 18 19	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO LEGAL DEPT – DB203 220 W 6TH STREET P O BOX 711 TUCSON AZ 85702-0711 JOE EICHELBERGER MAGMA COPPER COMPANY	GRANTS NM 87020 AZ DEPT OF COMMERCE ENERGY OFFICE 3800 N CENTRAL 12TH FL PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012 A B BAARDSON NORDIC POWER	AZ COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOC 2627 N 3RD ST SUITE 2 PHOENIX AZ 85004 JESSICA YOULE PAB300	
17 18 19 20	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO LEGAL DEPT – DB203 220 W 6TH STREET P O BOX 711 TUCSON AZ 85702-0711 JOE EICHELBERGER	GRANTS NM 87020 AZ DEPT OF COMMERCE ENERGY OFFICE 3800 N CENTRAL 12TH FL PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012 A B BAARDSON	AZ COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOC 2627 N 3RD ST SUITE 2 PHOENIX AZ 85004 JESSICA YOULE	
17 18 19 20 21	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO LEGAL DEPT – DB203 220 W 6TH STREET P O BOX 711 TUCSON AZ 85702-0711 JOE EICHELBERGER MAGMA COPPER COMPANY PO BOX 37	GRANTS NM 87020 AZ DEPT OF COMMERCE ENERGY OFFICE 3800 N CENTRAL 12TH FL PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012 A B BAARDSON NORDIC POWER 6463 N DESERT BREEZE CT	AZ COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOC 2627 N 3RD ST SUITE 2 PHOENIX AZ 85004 JESSICA YOULE PAB300 SALT RIVER PROJECT P O BOX 52025	
17 18 19 20 21 22	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO LEGAL DEPT – DB203 220 W 6TH STREET P O BOX 711 TUCSON AZ 85702-0711 JOE EICHELBERGER MAGMA COPPER COMPANY PO BOX 37 SUPERIOR AZ 85273 STEVE MONTGOMERY JOHNSON CONTROLS	AZ DEPT OF COMMERCE ENERGY OFFICE 3800 N CENTRAL 12TH FL PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012 A B BAARDSON NORDIC POWER 6463 N DESERT BREEZE CT TUCSON AZ 85750-0846 CRAIG MARKS CITIZENS UTIL COMPANY	AZ COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOC 2627 N 3RD ST SUITE 2 PHOENIX AZ 85004 JESSICA YOULE PAB300 SALT RIVER PROJECT P O BOX 52025	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO LEGAL DEPT – DB203 220 W 6TH STREET P O BOX 711 TUCSON AZ 85702-0711 JOE EICHELBERGER MAGMA COPPER COMPANY PO BOX 37 SUPERIOR AZ 85273 STEVE MONTGOMERY	AZ DEPT OF COMMERCE ENERGY OFFICE 3800 N CENTRAL 12TH FL PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012 A B BAARDSON NORDIC POWER 6463 N DESERT BREEZE CT TUCSON AZ 85750-0846 CRAIG MARKS	AZ COMMUNITY ACTION ASSOC 2627 N 3RD ST SUITE 2 PHOENIX AZ 85004 JESSICA YOULE PAB300 SALT RIVER PROJECT P O BOX 52025 PHOENIX AZ 85072-2025 BARRY HUDDLESTON	

LAW OFFICES
MARTINEZ & CURTIS, P.C.
2712 NORTH 7TH STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85006-1090
(602) 248-0372

			•
1			
2	LARRY MCGRAW USDA-RUS 6266 WEEPING WILLOW	TERRY ROSS CENTER FOR ENERGY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	CLARA PETERSON AARP HC 31 BOX 977
3	RIO RANCHO NM 87124	PO BOX 288 FRANKTOWN CO 80116-0288	HAPPY JACK AZ 86024
4			
5	JOHN JAY LIST GENERAL COUNSEL NATL RURAL UTIL COOP FIN CORP 2201 COOPERATIVE WAY	JIM DRISCOLL AZ CITIZENS ACTION 5160 E BELLEVUE ST	C WEBB CROCKETT JAY L SHAPIRO FENNEMORE CRAIG PC
6	HERNDON VA 21071	APT 101 TUCSON AZ 85712-4828	3003 N CENTRAL SUITE 2600 PHOENIX AZ 85012-2913
7			
8	ROBERT S LYNCH 340 E PALM LN SUITE 140 PHOENIX AZ 85004-4529	ROBERT JULIAN PPG 1500 MERRELL LANE BELGRADE MT 59714	CARL ROBERT ARON EXEC VP & COO ITRON INC 2818 N SULLIVAN ROAD SPOKANE WA 99216
10			
11	DOUGLAS NELSON DOUGLAS C NELSON PC 7000 N 16TH ST SUITE 120-307	K R SALINE K R SALINE & ASSOCIATES CONSULTING ENGINEERS 160 N PASADENA SUITE 101	ALBERT STERMAN AZ CONSUMERS COUNCIL 2849 E 8TH STREET
12	PHOENIX AZ 85020-5547	MESA AZ 85201-6764	TUCSON AZ 85716
13	MICHAEL GRANT	LAWRENCE V ROBERTSON JR	RAYMOND S. HEYMAN MICHAEL PATTEN
14 15	GALLAGHER & KENNEDY 2575 E CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX ARIZONA 85016-9225 Mmg@gknet.com	MUNGER CHADWICK PLC 333 N WILMOT SUITE 300 TUCSON AZ 85711-2634 Lyrobertson@mungerchadwick.com	ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF 400 E VAN BUREN SUITE 800 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85004 mpatten@rhd-law.com rheyman@rhd-law.com
16		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	пеунапатна-јам.соп
17	VINNIE HUNT CITY OF TUCSON DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS 4004 S PARK AVE BLDG #2	SUZANNE DALLIMORE ANTITRUST UNITCHIEF AZ ATTY GENERALS OFC 1275 W WASHINGTON	ELIZABETH S FIRKINS INTL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRIC WORKS LU #1116 750 S TUCSON BLVD
18	TUCSON ARIZONA 85714	PHOENIX AZ 85007	TUCSON ARIZONA 85716-5698
19	CARL DABELSTEIN	RODERICK G MCDOUGAL CITY ATTY CITY OF PHOENIX	WILLIAM J MURPHY CITY OF PHOENIX
20	CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS 2901 N CENTRAL AVE SUITE 1660 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012	ATTN JESSE SEARS 200 W WASHINGTON STE 1300	200 W WASHINGTON SUITE 1400 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85003-1611 Bill Murphy@phoenix.gov
22		PHOENIX AZ 85003-1611	
23	RUSSELL E JONES WATERFALL ECONOMIDIS CALDWELL HANSHAW & VILLAMANA PC 5210 E WILLIAMS CIR SUITE 800	CHRISTOPHER HITCHCOCK HITCHCOCK & HICKS PO BOX 87	TIMOTHY M HOGAN AZ CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST
24	TUCSON ARIZONA 85711 Rjones@wechv.com	BISBEE ARIZONA 85603-0087 Lawyers@bisbeelaw.com	202 E MCDOWELL RD SUITE 153 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85004
25			
			· ·

LAW OFFICES
MARTINEZ&CURTIS,P.C.
2712 NORTH 7TH STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85006-1090
(602) 248-0372

1			·
2	BARBARA R GOLDBERG OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 3939 CIVIC CENTER BLVD	MICHELLE AHLMER AZ RETAILERS ASSOCIATION 224 W 2 ND ST	TIMOTHY MICHAEL TOY WINTHROP STIMSON PUTNAM & ROBERTS ONE BATTERY PARK PLAZA
3	SCOTTSDALE ARIZONA 85251	MESA ARIZONA 85201-6504	NEW YORK NY 10004-1490
4			
5	MARCIA WEEKS 18970 N 116TH LANE	JOHN T TRAVERS WILLIAM H NAU 272 MARKET SQUARE	STEPHEN L TEICHLER STEPHANIE A CONAGHAN DUANE MORRIS & HECKSCHER LLP
6	SURPRISE ARIZONA 85374	SUITE 2724 LAKE FOREST IL 60045	1667 K STREET NW STE 700 WASHINGTON DC 20006
7		RAYMOND S HEYMAN	
8	STEPHANIE A CONAGHAN DUANE MORRIS & HECKSCHER LLP 1667 K STREET NW SUITE 700	MICHAEL W PATTEN ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF PLC	STEVEN C GROSS PORTER SIMON
9	WASHINGTON DC 20006-1608	400 E VAN BUREN STE 800 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85004 theyman@rhd-law.com	40200 TRUCKEE AIRPORT ROAD TRUCKEE CA 96161-3307
10	•		
11	BILLIE DEAN AVIDD	RAYMOND B WUSLICH WINSTON & STRAWN	THERESA DRAKE IDAHO POWER COMPANY
12	PO BOX 97 MARANA AZ 85652-0987	1400 L STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005	PO BOX 70 BOISE IDAHO 83707
13			
14	DONALD R ALLEN JOHN P COYLE DUNCAN & ALLEN	WARD CAMP PHASER ADVANCED METERING SVCS	JAMES P BARLETT 5333 N 7TH STREET
15	1575 EYE STREET NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON DC 20005	400 GOLD SW SUITE 1200 ALBUQUERQUE NM 87102	SUITE B-215 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85014
16	LIBBY BRYDOLF	PAUL W TAYLOR	
17	CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS NEWSLETTER	R W BECK 2201 E CAMELBACK RD	KATHY T PUCKETT SHELL OIL COMPANY
18	2419 BANCROFT STREET SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA 92104	SUITE 115-B PHOENIX AZ 85016-3433	200 N DAIRY ASHFORD HOUSTON TEXAS 77079
19	JAY I MOYES	ANDREW BETTWY	
20	MOYES STOREY LTD 3003 N CENTRAL AVE SUITE 1250	DEBRA JACOBSON SOUTHWEST GAS CORP	PETER GLASER SHOOK HARDY & BACON LLP 600 14 TH STREET NW SUITE 800
21	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012 Jimoyes@lawms.com	5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS NEVADA 89150-0001	WASHINGTON DC 20006-2004
22		DETER O NIVOE TO	
23	ANDREW N CHAU SHELL ENERGY SERVICES CO LLC 1221 LAMAR SUITE 1000	PETER Q NYCE JR DEPT OF THE ARMY JALS-RS SUITE 713	SANFORD J ASMAN 570 VININGTON COURT
24	HOUSTON TEXAS 77010	901N STUART STREET ARLINGTON VA 22203-1837	DUNWOODY GA 30350-5710
25	·		
26			
	1		

LAW OFFICES
MARTINEZ & CURTIS, P.C.
2712 NORTH 7TH STREET
PHOENIX. AZ 85006-1090
(602) 248-0372

1			
_	DAN NEIDLINGER	CHUCK GARCIA	HOLLY E CHASTAIN SCHLUMBERGER RESOURCE MGMT
2	NEIDLINGER & ASSOCIATES 3020 N 17TH DRIVE	PNM LAW DEPARTMENT ALVARADO SO MS 0806	SERVICES INC
3	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85015	ALBUQUERQUE NM 87158	5430 METRIC PLACE NORCROSS GA 30092-2550
3			NOROROBI GA 30072-2330
4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	PATRICIA COOPER	ALAN WATTS SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	FREDERICK M BLOOM
- 5	AEPCO/SSWEPCO POST OFFICE BOX 670	PUBLIC POWER AGENCY	COMMONWEALTH ENERGY CORP
_	BENSON ARIZONA 85602	529 HILDA COURT ANAHEIM CA 92806	15991 RED HILL AVE SUITE 201 TUSTIN CA 92780
6	Pcoopen@aepnet.org		
7		•	
,	LESLIE LAWNER	KEVIN MCSPADDEN	BRIAN SOTH
8	ENRON CORP	MILBANK TWEED HANDLEY AND MCCLOY LLP	FIRSTPOINT SERVICES, INC.
	712 N LEA ROSWELL NM 88201	601 S FIGUEROA 30TH FL	1001 SW 5TH AVE SUITE 500 PORTLAND OREGON 92704
9	NOS WILLS HAVE GOLD I	LOS ANGELES CA 90017	TORTEMO ORDOON 92704
10		ROGER K FERLAND	
10	MARGARET MCCONNELL	QUARLES & BRADY STREICH	M C ARENDES IR
11	MARICOPA COMMUNITY COLLEGES	LANG LLP RENAISSANCE ONE	C3 COMMUNICATIONS INC
	2411 W 14TH STREET TEMPE AZ 85281-6942	TWO N CENTRAL AVENUE	2600 VIA FORTUNA SUITE 500 AUSTIN TEXAS 78746
12	1 EWII E AZ 63261-0742	PHOENIX AZ 85004-2391 rferland@quarles.com	AUSTIN TEAMS /6/40
10		rie rand a quaries. com	
13		CHARLES T STEVENS	
14	IAN CALKINS PHOENIX CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	ARIZONANS FOR ELECTRIC	STEVEN J DUFFY RIDGE & ISAACSON PC
	201 N CENTRAL AVE 27TH FL	CHOICE & COMPETITION 245 W ROOSEVELT	3101 N CENTRAL AVE SUITE 740
15	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85073	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85003	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012
16	PATRICK J SANDERSON AZ INDEPENDENT SCHEDULING	JEFFREY GULDNER	
17	ADMINISTRATOR ASSOC	SNELL & WILMER	STEVEN LAVIGNE DUKE ENERGY
1/	PO BOX 6277 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85005-6277	ONE ARIZONA CENTER PHOENIX AZ 85004-0001	4 TRIAD CENTER SUITE 1000
18	Psanderson@az-isa.org	Jguldner ä swlaw.com	SALT LAKE CITY UTAH 84180
19	MARK SIROIS	JOHN WALLACE	MICHAEL L KURTZ
20	ARIZONA COMMUNITY ACTION	GRAND CANYON STATE ELECTRIC CO-OP	BORHM KURTZ & LOWRY
20	ASSOCIATION 2627 N THIRD STREET SUITE 2	120 N 44TH ST STE 100	36 E SEVENTH ST STE 2110 CINCINNATI OHIO 45202
21	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85004	PHOENIX AZ 85034-1822 Jwallace a geseca.org	Mkurtzlaw@aolcom
		e ri manaria, persona VI.5	
22	and the second s		ROBERT BALTES
22	GREG PATTERSON 5432 E AVALON	KEVIN C HIGGINS ENERGY STRATEGIES LLC	ARIZONA COGENERATION ASSOCIATION
23	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85018	30 MARKET ST STE 200	7250 N 16TH STREET SUITE 102 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85020-5270
24	Gpattersoncpa@aol.com	SALT LAKE CITY UT 84101	Bhaltes@bvaeng.com
<u>_</u>			
25			

LAW OFFICES
MARTINEZ& CURTIS,P.C.
2712 NORTH 7th STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85006-1090
(602) 248-0372

1			
2	DENNIS L DELANEY K R SALINE & ASSOCIATES	WILLIAM P INMAN DEPT OF REVENUE 1600 W MONROE ROOM 911	KELLY BARR JANA BRANDT SRP
3	160 N PASADENA SUITE 101 MESA ARIZONA 85201-6764	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85007 InmanW@revenue.state.az.us	MAIL STATION PAB211 PO BOX 52025 PHOENIX AZ 85072-2025 Kjbarræsrpnet.com Jkbrandtæsrpnet.com
4			
5 ,	DAVID BERRY PO BOX 1064	DAVID COUTURE TEP 4350 E IRVINGTON ROAD	RANDALL H WARNER JONES SKELTON & HOCHULI PLC 2901 N CENTRAL AVE SUITE 800
6	SCOTTSDALE AZ 85252	TUCSON ARIZONA 85714	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012
. 7	THE DEGA NOTAD		
8	THERESA MEAD AES NEWENERGY	AARON THOMAS AES NEWENERGY	MARY-ELLEN KANE ACAA
0	P O BOX 65447 TUCSON ARIZONA 85728	350 S GRAND AVENUE SUITE 2950 LOS ANGELES CA 90071	2627 NORTH 3RD STREET SUITE TWO PHOENIX ARIZONA 85004
9	Theresa.mead@aes.com	Aaron, Thomas@aes.com	Mkane@azcaa.org
10		PETER W FROST	
11	AZ REPORTING SERVICE INC 2627 N THIRD ST SUITE THREE	CONOCO GAS & POWER MARKETING 600 N DAIRY ASHFORD	CURTIS L KEBLER RELIANT RESOURCES INC 8996 ETIWANDA AVE
12	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85004-1104	CH-1068 HOUSTON TEXAS 77079	RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91739
13	THEODORE ROBERTS		
14	SEMPRA ENERGY RESOURCES 101 ASH STREET HQ 12-B SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA 92101-3017	RYLE J CARL III INTERNATL BROTHERHOOD OF ELEC WORKERS LC #1116	JAY KAPROSY PHOENIX CHAMBER OF COMMERCE 201 N CENTRAL AVE 27TH FL
15	Troberts@sempra.com	750 S TUCSON BLVD TUCSON AZ 85716-5698	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85073
16	LORI GLOVER	JEFF SCHLEGEL	HOWARD GELLER
17	STIRLING ENERGY SYSTEMS 2920 E CAMELBACK RD SUITE 150	SWEEP 1167 SAMALAYUCA DRIVE TUCSON AZ 85704-3224	SWEEP 2260 BASELINE RD SUITE 200 BOULDER COLORADO 80302
18	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85016 Lglover@stirlingernergy.com	Schlegelj@aol.com	Hgeller@swenergy.org
19	PETER VAN HAREN CITY OF PHOENIX	ROBERT ANNAN AZ CLEAN ENERGY INDUSTRIES	GARY A DODGE
20	ATTN JESSE W SEARS 200 W WASHINGTON SUITE 1300 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85003-1611	ALLIANCE 6605 E EVENING GLOW DRIVE SCOTTSDALE ARIZONA 85262	HATCH JAMES & DODGE 10 WEST BROADWAY SUITE 400 SALT LAKE CITY UTAH 84101
21	Jesse.sears@phoenix.gov	Annan@primenet.com	
22	PHILIP KEY RENEWABLE ENERGY LEADERSHIP	PAUL BULLIS OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	LAURIE WOODALL OFC OF THE ATTY GEN
23	GROUP 10631 E AUTUMN SAGE DRIVE SCOTTSDALE ARIZONA 85259	1275 W WASHINGTON STREET PHOENIX ARIZONA 85007	15 S 15TH AVENUE PHOENIX ARIZONA 85007
		I HOLINIA MALONA OJOV /	T and and della the second
24	Keytaic@aol.com	Paul bullis@ag.state.az.us	Laurie.woodall@ag.state.az.us

LAW OFFICES
MARTINEZ & CURTIS, P.C.
2712 NORTH 7TH STREET
PHOENIX. AZ 85006-1090
(602) 248-0372

_		
1	DONNA M BRONSKI	MICHAEL R ENGLEMAN
2	CITY OF SCOTTSDALE 3939 N DRINKWATER BLVD SCOTTSDALE ARIZONA 85251	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO ET AL 2101 L STREET NW
3	Dbronski@ci.scottsdale.az.us	WASHINGTON DC 20037
4	MICHAEL A TRENTEL	
5	PATRICK W BURNETT PANDA ENERGY INTERNATIONAL 4100 SPRING VALLEY SUITE 1010 DALLAS TEXAS 75244	WILLIAM BAKER ELECTRICAL DISTRICT NO 6 7310 N 16 TH STREET SUITE 320
6	Michaelt@pandaenergy.com Patb@pandaenergy.com	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85020
7		
8	JOHN A LASOTA JR MILLER LASOTA & PETERS PLC 5225 N CENTRAL AVE SUITE 235	BRADFORD A BORMAN PACIFICORP 201 S MAIN SUITE 2000
9	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85012	SALT LAKE CITY UTAH 84140
10	JOAN WALKER-RATLIFF MANAGER REGULATORY AFFAIRS	DODERNI NASTRIANIA
11	CONOCO GAS AND POWER 1000 SOUTH PINE P O BOX 1267 125-4 ST	ROBERT J METLI ESQ CHEIFETZ & IANNITELLI PA 3238 NORTH 16 TH STREET
12	PONCA CITY OK 74602 Joan.walker-ratliff@conoco.com	PHOENIX ARIZONA 85016
13	A	16
14	1755/-4/pleadings/Track A Closing Brief 0710.	1000
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

DAVID A CRABTREE
DIERDRE A BROWN
TECO POWER SVCS CORP
P O BOX 111
TAMPA FLORIDA 33602
Dacrabtree@tecoenergy.com
Dabrown@tecoenergy.com

JESSE DILLON
PPL SERVICES CORP
2 NORTH NINTH STREET
ALLENTOWN PA 18101-1179
jadillon@pplweb.com

SAM DEFRAW (ATTN CODE OOI)
RATE INTERVENTION DIVISION
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAN.
BUILDING 212 4TH FLOOR 901 M STREET SE
WASHINGTON DC 20374-5018

THOMAS MUMAW ESQ PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL CORP POST OFFICE BOX 53999 MAIL STATION 8695 PHOENIX ARIZONA 85072-3999 thomas.Mumaw@pinnaclewest.com

LAW OFFICES
MARTINEZ & CURTIS. P.C.
2712 NORTH 7TH STREET
PHOENIX. AZ 85006-1090
(602) 248-0372

25